UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/639,508	08/16/2000	Richard S. Chomik	460.1891USV	3194	
Charles N.J. Ruggiero Esq OHLANDT GREELEY RUGGIERO & PERLE LLP			EXAMINER		
			DEXTER, CLARK F		
One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/639,508	CHOMIK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CLARK F. DEXTER	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Not</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15,16,25-29 and 41 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-29 is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 15,16,25,26 and 41 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2009 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecdrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/10; 4/27/11.</li> </ul>	4) interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 09/639,508 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed on November 4, 2010 has been entered. Upon careful reconsideration, particularly in view of the documents cited in applicant's information disclosure statements and a closer look at the prior art, specifically Greenwood, new grounds of rejection are necessitated. Because the new grounds of rejection were not necessitated by applicant's amendment, this Office action is being made **non-final**.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed on October 19, 2010 and April 27, 2011 have been received and the references listed thereon have been considered **EXCEPT** for U. S. Patent No. 3,247,630 wherein the provided data does not correspond to this patent number and this patent number is directed to an unrelated invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject

Art Unit: 3724

matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 15, 16, 25, 26 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenwood et al., pn 5,499,729.

Greenwood discloses the claimed method (except for the limitations shown by strikethrough and grayed-out) as follows:

A method of forming a plurality of perforations (e.g., 73, 74) in a concavely curved domed portion (e.g., 77) of a vent disc (e.g., 72), which comprises:

forming a plurality of upwardly extending depressions (e.g., 74) in an undersurface of said domed portion while leaving a residual (e.g., the portion through which 73 extends; see Fig. 17) of said domed portion above each of said depressions, said depressions each having a centerline, each of said centerlines of said depressions being coincident with a radius that forms a concave curvature of said domed portion; and

forming a slit (e.g., see col. 2, lines 25-29; and see claim 7, particularly in combination with claim 1 from which claim 7 depends, wherein claim 1 sets forth such a combination in the line bridging columns 10-11 when included with the subject matter of

claim 7) through each said residual, said slits being resealable and each having a centerline, each of said centerlines of said slits being formed coincident to a corresponding one of said centerlines of said depressions, wherein said slits that have a width of about 0.040 to about 0.080 inches, and wherein said domed portion is elastomeric;

Page 4

[claim 15] The method of claim 41, further comprising forming each of said depressions as hemispherical in shape (e.g., see Fig. 17).

**Greenwood lacks** the specific slit width (i.e., what is typically considered to be the "slit length") as follows:

[from claim 1] wherein said slits that have a width of about 0.040 to about 0.080 inches;

[claim 25] wherein said slits have a width of about 0.058 to about 0.062 inch; [claim 26 (from 25)] wherein said slits have a width about 0.060 inch.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide slits having such a width because to provide such a width would be the mere discovery of the optimum or workable ranges within the general conditions of the prior art by one having ordinary skill in the art. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the disclosed invention of Greenwood to such a scale, based on a particular need, such that such a width criteria is met by the invention disclosed by Greenwood.

Further, regarding claim 41, Greenwood lacks:

wherein said forming of said slits in said vent disc is effected, so that, when said vent disc is seen in top view, said plurality of slits are arranged in a pattern, said pattern having a central area from which radiates outwardly a series of 12 radial extensions equally circumferentially angularly spaced 30 degrees from each other, each of said radial extensions having four of said equally radially spaced individual slits.

**However**, the Examiner takes Official notice that providing the perforations (which includes the slits) in such a pattern is merely one of a myriad of such known patterns and it is respectfully submitted that providing such a pattern amounts to a mere matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art and thus obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Remarks

6. The Examiner sincerely regrets the late discovery of the subject matter used in rejecting the pending claims. Applicant is invited to contact the Examiner to discuss any issues regarding the present application.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CLARK F. DEXTER whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CLARK F DEXTER/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

cfd May 31, 2011